Colligation Reactions between a Nickel(II) Macrocycle and Alkyl Radicals

Douglas G. Kelley, James H. Espenson* and Andreja Bakac*

Department of Chemistry and Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

The bimolecular reaction between *RRSS*-NiL²⁺ (where L = 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) and alkyl radicals (R') forms the organometallic species RNiL(H₂O)²⁺, with rate constants that vary in the order Me \gg primary \gg secondary in aqueous perchloric acid at 25 °C, reflecting the decreasing strength of the nickel–carbon bond.

Colligation¹ reactions occur between metal complexes and alkyl radicals according to eqn. (1); NiL^{2+} is the so called *trans*-III isomer.²

$$NiL^{2+} + R^{\cdot} + H_2O \xrightarrow{\kappa_{col}} RNiL(H_2O)^{2+}$$
(1)

The first observation³ of these reactions was for the case of $R^{*} = Me^{*}$. Using organocobalt complexes⁴ for the photochemical generation of R^{*} and a kinetic probe method[†] with ABTS^{*-†}, we were able to obtain values of k_{col} for a range of radicals, as summarized in Table 1.

The trend in values is remarkable; k_{col} varies with radical structure in the order Me \gg primary \gg secondary. However, such a trend is nearly absent for analogous reactions^{5,6} of

chromium and cobalt complexes, also cited in Table 1. It is to be noted that the reactive NiL²⁺ complex is square planar,^{‡7} whereas the others are five- or six-coordinate species. Thus the nickel reaction is accompanied by coordination of water, whereas those of cobalt and chromium feature a loss of a coordinated water (except for vitamin B_{12r} , which is fivecoordinate).

The thermodynamics of the metal-carbon bond formation seem to be central to determining the kinetics. The rate constants for the reverse (homolysis) reactions can be

[†] The persistent radical ABTS^{•–} is the one-electron oxidation product of 2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonate) ion; D. G. Kelley, J. H. Espenson and A. Bakac. *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 4996.

[‡] Actually, the species in solution are a rapidly-equilibrating mixture of four-coordinate (71%) and six-coordinate $(H_2O)_2NiL^{2+}$ (29%).² Since the complex *cis*-(H₂O)₂NiL²⁺ does not react with R[•] (A. Marchaj, unpublished observations) we conclude that the four-coordinate NiL²⁺ species, and not *trans*-(H₂O)₂NiL²⁺, is the reactant. In correcting for the abundance of the reactive form, the 'real' value of k_{col} is the value in Table 1 divided by 0.71.

Table 1 Rate constants^{*a*} ($k_{col}/10^7 l mol^{-1} s^{-1}$) for colligation reactions between alkyl radicals and divalent transition metal complexes in aqueous solution

R'	L _n M			
	NiL ²⁺	$Cr(H_2O)_6^{2+b}$	$(H_2O)_2CoL^2$	<i>b</i> Vit. B _{12r}
Me	73 ± 2	22	1.6	44c,d
Et	1.3 ± 0.2	19	1.1	$51^{c,e}$
$1 - C_3 H_7$	1.0 ± 0.1	22		61 ^{c,e}
$1-C_4H_9$	1.0 ± 0.3			
$2 - C_3 H_7$	<~0.02			25f
c-C ₅ H ₉	<~0.02	8		

^{*a*} At 25.0 °C in aqueous solution with 0.10 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄. The general reaction is $L_n M^{2+} + R^* \rightarrow RML_n^{2+}$, accompanying which there may be an increase in coordination number (Ni, 4–6) or not (Cr, Co). ^{*b*} Ref 6. ^{*c*} Ref 7. ^{*d*} pH 1.3–7. ^{*e*} pH 7. ^{*f*} pH 1.

measured for RNiL²⁺,^{3,8} (H₂O)₅CrR²⁺,^{5,9} RCoL(H₂O)²⁺¹⁰ and organocobalamines.¹¹ From these data it is clear that the values of $-RT\ln(k_{col}/k_{hom})$ are much smaller for NiL²⁺ than for Co-R and Cr-R complexes.§ The most favourable free energy for RNiL(H₂O)²⁺ is the case R = Me with $K_1 \sim 10^7$ $1 \text{ mol}^{-1.3}$ This compares with $K_1 \sim 10^{11} 1 \text{ mol}^{-1.12}$ for the least favourable RCrL²⁺ analogue (R = PhCH₂). The smaller the value of K_1 and the lower the value of k_{col} , the larger the value of k_{hom} would be in a homologous series. This would be particularly so when at its least stable (PrⁱNiL²⁺), K_1 is no larger than *ca*. unity. These considerations hold for whatever role is played by the addition or removal of coordinate water, since this is automatically accommodated in the kinetic and thermodynamic values.

§ A complete set of data in the series Me, prim., sec. is not available for the organochromium and organocobalt complexes. The methyl derivatives usually do not homolyse (k_{hom} small), and the secondary ones are often too unstable to be prepared (k_{hom} large). This behaviour, combined with all the available kinetic data^{5,9–12} on homolysis of the organochromium and organocobalt complexes clearly establishes the trend for k_{hom} as Me \ll prim. \ll sec. The values of K_1 for the organocobalt and organochromium complexes change dramatically in the series (Me > primary > secondary), without a corresponding change in the values of k_{col} , despite the fact that the values of k_{col} lie in the activation-controlled regime.¹² The driving force for the formation of all the alkylcobalt and alkylchromium complexes may be sufficiently large to minimise steric influences on k_{col} within each series. In support of this we note that the reverse rate constants in the uphill direction (homolysis) are dramatically influenced by steric effects for all three series.

This research was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, under Contract W-7405-Eng-82. Helpful discussions with D. Meyerstein are gratefully acknowledged.

Received, 5th October 1990; Com. 0/04509H

References

- 1 C. K. Ingold, in *Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry*, Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, New York, 2nd edn., 1961, p. 5.
- 2 The stereochemical designators are *RRSS*. This is also called the trans-III or β isomer, which is the most thermodynamically stable of the five. See: E. K. Barefield, A. Bianchi, E. J. Billo, P. J. Connolly, P. Paoletti, J. S. Summers and D. G. Van Derveer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 4197 and ref. 10 therein; B. Bosnich, C. K. Poon and M. L. Tobe. *Inorg. Chem.*, 1965, **4**, 1102.
- 3 A. Sauer, H. Cohen and D. Meyerstein, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, 27, 4578.
- 4 A. Bakac and J. H. Espenson, Inorg. Chem., 1989, 28, 3901.
- 5 J. H. Espenson, P. Connolly, D. Meyerstein and H. Cohen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 1009.
- 6 A. Bakac and J. H. Espenson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, 28, 4319.
 7 A. Anichini, L. Fabbrizzi, P. Paoletti and R. M. Clay, *Inorg.*
- Chim. Acta Lett., 1977, 24, 21.
- 8 D. G. Kelley, A. Bakac and J. H. Espenson, unpublished work.
 9 R. S. Nohr and J. H. Espenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 3392; Ryan D. A. and Espenson, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 2488; 1982, 104, 704.
- 10 A. Bakac and J. H. Espenson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 4305; S. Lee, A. Bakac and J. H. Espenson, submitted to *Inorg. Chem.*
- 11 R. J. Blau and J. H. Espenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 3530; G. N. Schrauzer and J. H. Grate, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 541.
- 12 G. W. Kirker, A. Bakac and J. H. Espenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 1249.